

<b>9. Please provide any other comments you have about the Member-Member.</b>
I do not think that using the most recent 10 tournament scores and only looking back one year is statistically efficient. I have no problem with using 4 out of 10. But you should at least look back 2 calendar golf seasons. I did have a problem with using only two of my scores. But I guess it depends on what you are trying to estimate / optimize.
1. I think the order of flights for each day should be variable, but such that mid round flights from Day 1 become early morning or late day flights on Day 2. 2. Create more flights and pay all net only.
Can't go wrong with free beer Well run, good job, I didn't see any glaring mistakes.
Use the clock. Without it, some don't care how slow they are. Hate playing with them.
I appreciate the Boards efforts. I think you guys did great this year. I know its tough keeping everyone happy and people will always bitch, however, my experience was awesome Thanks again
Liked cash skins again... but prefer some process that would allow for automated scoring/viewing in Genius... then immediate cash payout.
If using Stableford, the double bogie or worse should only be -1, not -2. The idea with Stableford is not to be severely penalized for being aggressive.
Our flight positions (time of day) were not favorable to be able to enjoy the food and drink specials. Pace of play on Sunday was poor, especially with the hot weather. Didn't make for a fun tournament.
Well run tournament.
Am not a fan of stableford for a major event (M-M, club championship) as it over-rewards birdies etc as compared to straight stroke play.
I also wish that for these major events we could get the course better prepared with GUR markers. I realize this is a golf course issue, but with the state of the course given the extreme amount of play its had over the last few years am wondering if the mens club could/should do anything to help get this done (, or something).
I generally agree with no max hole score for tournaments, but if pace of play is the issue, I would prefer a max score approach vs stableford scoring.
Only issue was pace of play. Agree with Paul that CTP was confusing. I am not even sure which holes they were on.
With the stableford format it over rewarded the handicap strokes
Please consider moving the dates of tourneys like this one away from the dates of major championships on the PGA tour. We too like to watch major championships live and not on tape.
We cannot count 2 balls on par 3's when guys are playing different tee's 50 yards apart Especially when the guy's 50 yards up are also getting strokes.
1 ball per hole to speed up play, Calcutta wb great... then I would rate a 10 Clearly define what max spread between partners, please. Is it ... A. capped per the difference between course handicap on each players preferred tees Or is it B. Capped per the tournament index
Worst MM tournament format I have ever played in
Everything Paul said. Whatever is needed to automate the tourney as much as possible in Golf Genius.
Thanks for all the hard work LTMGC Board.

<b>9. Please provide any other comments you have about the Member-Member.</b>
Were there any drink specials Also never saw the keg of beer that ray kelly also provided The range situation on Saturday was very disappointing to put it politely. With this being one of our "major" tournaments of the year, we should not have to be fighting with juniors/clinics happening at the same time. We should have full access to the range. It was impossible to warm up prior to our round
A shamble addition to this format would speed up pace of play and make it a lot more competitive. Way more birdies and eagles
I liked the change in format, but I think we need to keep 2 balls for some holes and I would prefer to go back to Calcutta Auction.
I was able to get Golf Genius do the team skins with 2 balls on some holes. It did stroke, but that's the same as Stableford for skins
I always appreciate the hard work of those organizing and running this and other club events. Thank you.
Something needs to be done about pace of play. There seems to be a reluctance to pick up when one partner is out of the hole. I liked the -2 point stableford max score approach. Perhaps only counting one ball on all holes would help.
had a lot of fun picking up a partner I hadn't met before
Would like better communications on how the betting works. It's very confusing and I still can't figure out what I won and if what is being reported is accurate.
One net ball please
It was a long round on Saturday, but overall a great event
Would like to see us support a charity. Most of us have all the shirts, head covers and other golf stuff that's needed. A single personalized cap or marker memento would be fine and more tee hole tee prizes on par 3s and such.
The Boards pace of play chairman should be fired AND he smells bad. Other than that get rid of Stabelford as it elevates a team too much when they get a lucky birdie with a pop.